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## Shared-Variable Concurrency (15 Marks)

## Question 1 (8 marks)

Give all possible final values of variable x in the following program. Prove your answer correct in Andrews' PL.

```
int x = 0;
sem s1 = 0, s2 = 1;
co P(s1); P(s2); x = x * 2; V(s2);
// P(s2); x = x * x; V(s2);
// P(s2); x = x + 3; V(s2); V(s1);
oc
```


## Question 2 ( 7 marks)

Hyman's Algorithm. The following algorithm was published in the Communications of the ACM in January 1966. Does it solve the critical section problem for two processes? Prove your answer.

```
    # assume a type bit with the two values 0 and 1
    bit turn, flag[0:1] = ([2] 0);
    process HIA [i=0 to 1]{
        while (true) {
            flag[i] = 1;
            while (turn != i) {
                <await (flag[1-i] == 0);>
            turn = i;
        }
        # critical section
        flag[i] = 0;
    }
}
```


## Message-Passing Concurrency (30 Marks)

## Question 3 ( 7 marks)

Suppose a computer center has two printers, $A$ and $B$, that are similar but not identical. Three kinds of client processes use the printers: those that must use $A$, those that must use $B$, and those that can use either one. Using the multiple primitives notation (i.e., in .. -> .. []..ni), fill in the gap in the following program such that it becomes a fair solution, assuming that clients eventually release printers.
(Write your answer to this question directly on this page.)

## global PrintMoron

type prType = enum (prA, prB); \# printer type
type reqType $=$ enum (reqA, reqB, reqD); \# print request type; $\mathrm{D}=$ don't care

```
    op request (int pid, reqType r, ref prType p) {call };
    op release (int pid, prType p);
body PrintMoron
    bool Afree = true, Bfree = true; # printer availability
    int Auser = -1, Buser = -1;
                                    # who uses the printer; -1 = nobody
    process Granter {
        while (true) {
                                # gap
                                # gap
                                # gap
                                # gap
                                # gap
                                    # gap
        }
    }
    process Releaser {
        while (true) {
                # gap
                # gap
                # gap
                # gap
                # gap
                                    # gap
    }
end PrintMoron
resource main ()
    import PrintMoron;
    int numRequesters; getarg (1, numRequesters);
    int numRounds; getarg (2, numRounds);
    reqType rqt[0:2] = (reqA, reqB, reqD);
    process Requester[i=1 to numRequesters] {
            prType p;
            reqType r;
            for [j = 1 to numRounds]{
            nap (int (random () * 100));
            r = rqt[int (random (3))];
            write ("Process", i, "requesting print service", r);
            PrintMoron.request (i, r, p);
            write ("Process", i, "using printer", p, "after requesting", r);
            nap (int (random () * 100));
            PrintMoron.release (i, p);
            write ("Process", i, "releasing printer", p);
        }
    }
end main
```


## Question 4 ( 7 marks)

Hamming's problem. Develop an MPD program whose output is the sequence of all multiples of 2,3 , and 5 in ascending order. The first elements of the sequence are $0,2,3,4,5,6$, $8,9,10,12,14$. There will be four concurrent processes: one each to calculate the multiples of the numbers 2,3 , and 5 , respectively, and a fourth process to merge the results.

## Question 5 (7 marks)

Prove $\{$ true $\} P\{x=z \wedge y \leq z\}$ for the synchronous transition diagram $P$ depicted below.

$\qquad$


## Question 6 (9 marks)

Partitioning a set. Give two disjoint sets of integers $S_{0}$ and $P_{0}$, their union $S_{0} \cup P_{0}$ has to be partitioned into two subsets $S$ and $P$ such that $|S|=\left|S_{0}\right|$ and $|P|=\left|P_{0}\right|$, and every element of $S$ is smaller than any element of $P$.

Prove the synchronous transition diagram given below correct with respect to precondition

$$
S=S_{0} \wedge P=P_{0} \wedge S \neq \emptyset \wedge S \cap P=\emptyset \wedge x \neq m \wedge y \neq n
$$

and postcondition

$$
S \cup P=S_{0} \cup P_{0} \wedge S \cap P=\emptyset \wedge|S|=\left|S_{0}\right| \wedge|P|=\left|P_{0}\right| \wedge \max S<\min P
$$

where, by convention, $\min \emptyset=+\infty$.


Discuss termination for 3 bonus marks.

## A Andrews' PL (a Proof System for MPD Annotations)

Assignment axiom

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\phi\left[{ }^{e} / x\right]\right\} x=e\{\phi\} \tag{ass}
\end{equation*}
$$

Composition rule

$$
\frac{\{\phi\} S_{1}\{\psi\},\{\psi\} S_{2}\left\{\psi^{\prime}\right\}}{\{\phi\} S_{1} ; S_{2}\left\{\psi^{\prime}\right\}}
$$

If-Else statement rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\{\phi \wedge b\} S_{1}\{\psi\},\{\phi \wedge \neg b\} S_{2}\{\psi\}}{\{\phi\} \text { if (b) } S_{1} \text { else } S_{2}\{\psi\}} \tag{if}
\end{equation*}
$$

While statement rule

$$
\frac{\{\phi \wedge b\} S\{\phi\}}{\{\phi\} \text { while (b) } S\{\phi \wedge \neg b\}}
$$

Rule of consequence

$$
\frac{\phi^{\prime} \rightarrow \phi,\{\phi\} S\{\psi\}, \psi \rightarrow \psi^{\prime}}{\left\{\phi^{\prime}\right\} S\left\{\psi^{\prime}\right\}}
$$

cons

Await statement rule

$$
\frac{\{\phi \wedge b\} S\{\psi\}}{\{\phi\}<\text { await }(b) S>\{\psi\}}
$$

await

Co statement rule

$$
\frac{\left\{\phi_{i}\right\} S_{i}\left\{\psi_{i}\right\} \text { hold and are interference free }}{\left\{\bigwedge_{i} \phi_{i}\right\} \operatorname{co} S_{1} / / \ldots / / S_{n} \text { oc }\left\{\bigwedge_{i} \psi_{i}\right\}}
$$

Semaphore wait rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\phi \wedge s>0 \rightarrow \psi\left[^{s-1} / s\right]}{\{\phi\} \mathrm{P}(s)\{\psi\}} \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$

Semaphore signal rule

$$
\frac{\phi \rightarrow \psi\left[\left[^{s+1} / s\right]\right.}{\{\phi\} \mathrm{V}(s)\{\psi\}}
$$

Simplifying assumption: arithmetic on bounded types such as int does not wrap around silently. Overflow and underflow errors lead to abnormal termination which renders program behaviours irrelevant to partial correctness arguments such as proofs in PL.

